

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/615,820

Applicant(s)

BOUVIER ET AL.

Examiner

Ernest G. Therkorn

Art Unit

1723

All Participants:(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.(2) Jesse A. Fecker.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 February 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

Patent Nos. 6,468,422 and 5,976,367

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that the case would be allowable if applicants submitted a terminal disclaimer for Patent Nos. 6,468,422 and 5,976,367. Jesse A. Fecker then indicated he would submit the terminal disclaimers. This action is being written because the terminal disclaimers would not be processed prior to the examiner's deadline for acting on the case. .